

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/805,813 02/26/97

MITSUHARA

I

-2-

085760-000

HM12/1016

WILLIAM M SMITH TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

STH FLOOR

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

NELSON, A

1638

10/16/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a)		is extended to run or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than	ng date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no six months from the date of the final rejection.	
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filer purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding at 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statute.	is the date of the response and also the date for the mount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR	
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).				
	App to p	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{9/24/ct}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not to place the application in condition for allowance:		
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because		The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be en	ntered and the final rejection stands because:	
*		 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 		
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		or search. (See Note).	
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).			
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appapeal.	peal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:				
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed the non-allowable claims.	ed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
3.	র্ত্ত	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:		
	Claims allowed:		_	
	Claims objected to: 23, 34 Claims rejected: 21, 22, 24 - 33, 35 - 41		_	
		However;	_	
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
			•	
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		ut does not overcome the rejection because	
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shoresented.	own good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier	
	11		Ann Mel	
Ļ		The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the	examiner.	
التا معروق] Other ইন্দ্ৰীয় বিভাগ	AMY J. NELSON PH.D	
- Euro			PRIMARY EXAMINER	